

United States Patent and Trademark Office

On

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/560,440	12/12/2005	Willis E Howard III	BYRK-021 (38484-163)	3091
7590 10/12/2006		06	EXAMINER	
Toby H Kusmer			VO, HIEN XUAN	
McDermott Will & Emery 28 State street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02109			2863	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,440	HOWARD, WILLIS E
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hien X. Vo	2863
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Dec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	x parto quayro, 1000 0.b. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2005 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected are discovered. See for is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/560,440

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/12/05. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 13, and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawagoe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,305,233).

With respect to claims 1, 7, 13, and 21, Kawagoe et al. disclose a spectrometer for accurately measuring light intensity in a specific wavelength region that includes defining, for each of the one or more light sources (see e.g. Fig. 1, item 2), a reference spectral distribution that is characteristic of the one or more light sources and comprised of reference light intensity values over a set of reference wavelengths (see e.g. col. 3, lines 7-29); defining, for each of the one or more light sources (see e.g. col. 2, lines 4-

Art Unit: 2863

8), a spectral distribution comprising actual light intensity values over the set of wavelengths (see e.g. abstract, lines 14-17); determining the actual reflectance of a set of reflected signals (see e.g. col. 1, lines 57-68); at least one storage device (see e.g. Abstract), defining a set of detector sensitivity data corresponding to the set of detectors receiving the set of reflected signals (see e.g. col. 3, lines 36-43); determining high resolution reflectance values (see e.g. col. 24, lines 1-3); determining a correction factor as a function of (see e.g. col. 15, lines 28-43); and applying the correction factor to R to determine R* (see e.g. col. 18, lines 17-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 8, 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawagoe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,305,233) in view of re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

With respect to claims 2, 8, 14, Kawagoe et al. disclose the invention as claimed except for teaching the correction factor is valid up to a range of at least about +/- 8nm around the specified center wavelength. However, Kawagoe et al. disclose the correction of scattering of the wavelength pitch to 10nm (see e.g. col. 18, lines 54-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,440

Art Unit: 2863

Therefore, , it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the correction factor as taught by Kawagoe because In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected. There was no evidence of the criticality different +/- 2nm between the claimed ranges and prior art ranges.

Claims 3-6, 9-12, 15-20 and 22, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawagoe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,305,233) further in view of Williams et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,359,192).

With respect to claims 3-6, 9-12, 15-20 and 22, Kawagoe et al. disclose the invention as claimed except for teaching the one or more light sources comprise LEDs, the one or more light sources and set of detectors comprise part of a reflectometer, and at least one of the one or more light sources is an infrared light source. However, Williams et al. disclose the dual-wavelength low-power built-in test for a laser-initiated ordnance system including a reflectometer (see e.g. col.1, lines 35-38), the light sources comprise LEDs (see e.g. col. 4, lines 22-24), set of detectors (see e.g. Fig. 1a, items 46, 48) and an infrared light source (see e.g. col. 11, lines 35-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the spectrometer of Kawagoe with a reflectomer, detectors, LED and infrared light source as taught by Williams in order to measure the amplitude of light-reflectance at any selected narrow band of light, low-power light sources, and eliminate most if not

all of the variables normally encountered in the measurement of light reflectance by conventional techniques.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien X. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hien Vo 09/29/06

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800